

Research: Dominion Man of Genesis 1  
Gen. 1:27, 28, 31

**Recommended article:**

“Reflection” by L. Ivimy Gwaller, *Christian Science Sentinel*, December 7, 1940.

**GENESIS 1** is the banner over the whole Bible and is the self-revelation of God. It enters into the very structure of the New Testament and is quoted over sixty times. The roots of all subsequent revelation are planted deep in Genesis.

“Was not this a revelation instead of a creation?” (*S&H* 504:14)

“‘The foundation of foundations and pillar of all wisdom is to know that the First Being is, and that He giveth existence to everything that exists!’ Thus wrote Moses Maimonides, a Jewish scholar of the 12<sup>th</sup> cent. A.D., concerning whom the Jewish proverb runs: ‘From Moses to Moses there arose none like Moses.’ He had in his mind the opening chapter of the Bible, the object of which is to lay this foundation; to declare the existence of the One God; to teach that the Universe was created by Him alone, not by a multitude of deities; that it is the product of a living, personal Will, not a necessary development of the forces inherent in Matter; that it is not the sport of Chance, but the harmonious result of Wisdom” (Dummelow 3).

“Genesis was written in a pre-scientific age and was not meant to be a scientific document. Consequently, only divine inspiration can account for the perfect accuracy of its technical information. In Genesis, it is made clear that all things were designed and created by God and continue to operate within the boundaries of His purpose. . .

“Though the Book of Genesis contains no express record as to who wrote the book, there are no logical reasons for denying that Moses is the author, not only of Genesis, but of all five books of the Pentateuch. . .

“Jesus refers to Moses as an author of Scripture in Luke 16:31; 24:44; and John 5:46, 47.

*Luke 24:44* And he said unto them, *These are the words which I spake unto you, while I was yet with you, that all things must be fulfilled, which were written in the law of Moses, and in the prophets, and in the psalms, concerning me.*

*John 5:46* For had ye believed Moses, ye would have believed me: for he wrote of me.

It has also been suggested that Moses made use of certain documents and oral traditions to write the book. . . However, it must be remembered that the actual writings of the Book

of Genesis was done by Moses, under the inspiration of the Holy Spirit” (*Hebrew-Greek Key Study Bible* 1).

“The first three chapters of the *Book of Genesis* do not tell of one creation of the world. They tell of two. In the first chapter and continuing into the second, God creates the world. Then, beginning with the fourth verse of the second chapter, another God creates a different kind of a world altogether.

“This second creation is not an amplification or continuation of the first one. Everything has been ‘finished’ (Gen. 2:1) in the first creation, including man, and the work has been pronounced ‘good.’ (Gen 1:30)

“These two creations stand side by side in the *Book of Genesis*, without connective and without explanation. Mankind is given two origins and two deities, and there is no suggestion in the text of how the two may be reconciled. They cannot, in fact, be reconciled. There is no point of contact between the two creators or between the two creations” (Chute 41-42).

**27 So God created man in his *own* image, in the image of God created he him; male and female created he them.**

“God determines the gender of His own ideas. Gender is mental, not material. The seed within itself is the pure thought emanating from divine Mind. . . *Gender* means simply *kind* or *sort*, and does not necessarily refer either to masculinity or femininity. The word is not confined to sexuality, and grammars always recognize a neuter gender, neither male nor female. The Mind or intelligence of production names the female gender last in the ascending order of creation. The intelligent individual idea, be it male or female, rising from the lesser to the greater, unfolds the infinitude of Love” (*S&H* 508: 13).

“Look long enough, and you see male and female one — sex or gender eliminated; you see the designation *man* meaning woman as well, and you see the whole universe included in one infinite Mind and reflected in the intelligent compound idea, image or likeness, called man, showing forth the infinite divine Principle, Love, called God, — man wedded to the Lamb, pledged to innocence, purity, perfection” (*My*. 268:29-5).

“Again, God being infinite Mind, He is the all-wise, all-knowing, all-loving Father-Mother, for God made man in His own image and likeness, and made them male and female as the Scriptures declare; then does not our heavenly Parent — the divine Mind — include within this Mind the thoughts that express the different mentalities of man and woman, whereby we may consistently say, ‘Our Father-Mother God’?” (*01* 7:8-15)

**28 And God blessed them, and God said unto them, Be fruitful, and multiply, and replenish the earth, and subdue it: and have dominion over the fish of the sea, and over the fowl of the air, and over every living thing that moveth upon the earth.**

“*Dominion* in Hebrew is *radah* which means to tread down (as a winepress, with the feet) to subjugate, subdue; to rule, cause to rule; have dominion, reign (Gen 1:26) to prevail against, to take possession of” (Hebrew-Greek Key 1659).

“*dominion*. This verbal root occurs in two senses. One is the root word for *tread* or *tread down* and is used in this sense only once. The second meaning is *to rule* and is used some twenty-two times in the OT, occurring in every section and type of context” (TWOT 833).

Ps 8:6 Thou madest him to have dominion over the works of thy hands; thou hast put all things under his feet:

“Man, made in His likeness, possesses and reflects God’s dominion over all the earth” (S&H 516:19-21).

“Man is not made to till the soil. His birthright is dominion, not subjection. He is lord of the belief in earth and heaven,-- himself subordinate alone to his Maker. This is the Science of being” (S&H 517:31).

#### Dominion over the fish of the sea:

“In the perverted sense of creation or the unreal history of mortal man the sea since primitive time has stood as a type of evil, and ‘the fish of the sea’ may well be taken to represent the activities of mortal mind. Considered materially, both the sea and the fish are unreal counterfeits of God’s creation, all of whose ideas or activities are expressions of Mind” (Mace 9).

SH 507:1. . . water symbolizes the elements of Mind.

“When this is read from the standpoint of material sense we think of God as an enlarged personality, and man in His image as a material personality, given dominion over all material creatures, -- fish, fowl, and beast; and in the darkness of this material concept man’s dominion degenerates into mere domination. He makes himself the ruler of the humbler creatures, using them merely for self-gratification, and then, as the law of righteousness is ever operative, his domination falls upon this rock and is ground to power. . . Thus, it follows that domination sown reaps its own reward, and behold the result: in place of dominion we see mankind dominated by every ill to which flesh is heir.

“A statement from Science and Health, however, changes the whole aspect of the case. Mrs. Eddy says (p. 123), ‘Divine Science . . . resolves things into thoughts’. With this in mind the verse from Genesis is seen in a new light. God is seen to be divine Mind, man an idea reflecting the qualities of Mind, and his dominion is exercised over the various phases of thought. Now ‘the fish of the sea’ may be said to typify the thoughts that float in the ocean of consciousness, coming and going apparently without effort. In grace and beauty, they move in the under-current of human consciousness. Intuitions and aspirations may first be seen as the fish moving gently. . . the silent and unspeakable ideas of love, reflecting the infinite intelligence of Mind” (Gilbreath 516-517).

#### Dominion over the fowls of the air:

SH 511:25 The fowls, which fly above the earth in the open firmament of heaven, correspond to aspirations soaring beyond and above corporeality to the understanding of the incorporeal and divine Principle, Love.

Dominion over the cattle:

The mortal view of the cattle might be lumbering thoughts, thoughts that lay down in consciousness, and we ruminate over and over them like a cow chewing its cud. We push these mortal thoughts down, and they seem to come up again and again until we finally realize our dominion over them.

SH 514:14-18

In the figurative transmission from the divine thought to the human, diligence, promptness, and perseverance are likened to “the cattle upon a thousand hills.” They carry the baggage of stern resolve and keep pace with highest purpose.

“Dominion over the cattle gives us a chance to prove that divine Love is manifested here and now in practical ways. . . In diligence we find activity and energy that have no thought of selfish ease. In promptness we are considerate of others and put aside thoughts of selfish convenience. In perseverance we yield to no suggestion of apathy or discouragement, carrying our ‘baggage’ (the work committed to our care) to its safe destination. Here is proved at every step the scientific and demonstrable truth that the true concept of dominion begins and ends in the realm of infinite Mind. We thus learn that man has dominion over all his thoughts. . .” (Gilbreath 517-518)

**31 And God saw every thing that he had made, and, behold, *it was very good.***

“*And God saw that it was good,*” we may assume that this is an element the narrative intends to emphasize. In view of such an emphasis at the beginning of the book, it is hardly accidental that throughout Genesis and the Pentateuch, the activity of ‘seeing’ is continually put at the center of the author’s conception of God. The first name given to God within the books is that of Hagar’s: ‘El Roi’ (el r’i) ‘the seeing God’ (Gen.16:13).

**Gen 16:13 And she called the name of the LORD that spake unto her, Thou God seest me: for she said, Have I also here looked after him that seeth me?**

“The psalmist, in reflecting on these texts, recognizes God’s ‘seeing’ as one of the essential attributes distinguishing him from all false idols, ‘which do not see’ (Ps. 115:5).

**Ps 115:5 They have mouths, but they speak not: eyes have they, but they see not:**

“Also, in Genesis 22:1-19, a central chapter dealing with the identity of God in Genesis, the narrative concludes on the theme that God is the one who ‘sees.’ Thus, the place where the Lord appeared to Abraham is called, ‘The Lord will see’ (Gen. 22:14)” (EBC 1.56).

**Gen 22:14 And Abraham called the name of that place Jě-hō’-vāh-jī’-rēh: as it is said to this day, In the mount of the LORD it shall be seen.**

“*Saw* in Hebrew is *ra’ah* which means to perceive, to have vision, to give attention to, discern, to behold” (Strong 7200). God sees seven times in Genesis 1, once for every day of creation.

“*Good*. i.e. perfect for the purpose for which God designed it” (Dummelow 4).

“GOOD. God; Spirit; omnipotence; omniscience; omnipresence; omni-action” (S&H 587:19).

“The creation itself is divided into seven days, or periods. Seven was a holy number to the Hebrews, the symbol of completeness, and its use in this connection emphasizes a fact which is also explicitly stated by the text itself. ‘Thus, the heavens and the earth were finished and . . . on the seventh day God brought his work to an end.’ (Gen 2:1-2) When this creation is finished, it is finished. Nothing can be added to it, and nothing taken away.

“Further, this creation is characterized throughout by a reiterated refrain. After each single act of creation comes the phrase, repeated again and again, ‘God saw that it was good’; (Gen. 1: 4, 10, 12, 18, 21, 25) and after the whole of creation has been finished the phrase is repeated and intensified. *God saw that all that he had made was very good* (Gen. 1:31)” (Chute 44-45).

**Very good = completely perfect**

And God saw everything that he had made, and, behold, it was very good (Gen. 1:31)

In view of the fact that the Hebrew verb 'asah can mean either "to make" or "to do," the rendering, "God saw everything that he had done," would be equally literal. The word translated "behold" is a word "that. . . emphasizes the immediacy, the here-and-now-ness, of the situation" (T. Lambdin). According to MH, "...this was the Eternal Mind's solemn reflection upon the copies of its own wisdom and the products of its own power." The Hebrew particle translated here as "behold" suggests enthusiasm, according to WBC, which conveys the emphasis by rendering the last clause as "it was really very good." Another [correct translation of "very good" as Von Rad explains in his commentary on Genesis would be "completely perfect."](#) Referring to this verse, he writes, "No evil was laid upon the world by God's hand; neither was his omnipotence limited by any kind of opposing power whatever." Von Rad sees high significance in this "very good": "... the concluding formula of approval for the entire work of creation ... is of great importance within the terse and unsuperlative language of [the author]." As some commentators note, "very" is added to the description of goodness only at this point, when creation is complete and regarded

as a whole. Up to this point, acts of creation have been described as "good." Here, with all the elements of creation in place and functioning together, the description is upgraded to "very good."